Datums.com
Derivatives Ai Translations of Uploaded Multimedia Sources

How Dare You Fight Back Against Communists!

The historic nature of communist revolutions reveals that the Western experiment of individual liberty and self-determination is currently being systematically eroded. This erosion is driven by a series of socialist movements that, if left unchecked, will inevitably lead to the establishment of a global communist regime. To understand and counteract this threat, it is necessary to examine the strategies employed by these movements and why they often succeed.

****The Strategy of Collectivist Control****

While the ultimate goals of communism and socialism are identical, their methods of implementation differ. Socialism typically seeks collectivist control of resources and populations through an incremental and subversive takeover of government structures. In contrast, communism pursues the same control through direct and violent overthrow. In practice, these strategies often overlap; leftist movements frequently alternate between guerrilla-style tactics when out of power and dictatorial governance once in power, often hiding behind a facade of democracy and peace.

****The Cry Bully Framework****

A recurring pattern in communist insurgencies is the "Cry Bully" strategy, which operates through a specific eight-point process:

1. **Elite Collaboration: Insurgents work alongside the same oligarchs and globalists they claim to oppose, utilizing funding from wealthy institutions and NGOs to target their enemies.**
2. **Astroturf Mobilization: Illicit funding is used to mobilize provocateurs and agitators who present themselves as a grassroots movement.**
3. **Propaganda and Disruption: Sabotage is justified through propaganda that claims the movement represents the "will of the people" or the rights of the disenfranchised.**
4. **The Victim Identity: By adopting the identity of the downtrodden or abused majority, insurgents claim moral exoneration for destructive or deceitful actions.**
5. **Provocation and Reaction: Insurgents deliberately provoke society until it acts in self-defense, at which point they portray themselves as wounded underdogs.**
6. **Legal Manipulation: They attempt to use the existing legal system, often through corrupt officials, to punish those who defend themselves, thereby creating a fear of imprisonment among the opposition.**

7. **Rejection of Law and Order: When the law is rightfully applied against them, they characterize the enforcement of order as government tyranny.**
8. **Fabricated Martyrdom: Mutilants pose as martyrs for "equity" and "freedom" while simultaneously attempting to pillage the prosperity and liberty of others.**

Ultimately, these movements are not seeking equality; they are driven by a desire to plunder the wealth and accomplishments of others to assert superiority.

****Institutional Inaction and the Subversion of Law****

The current American republic is ill-equipped to handle an insurgency composed of its own citizens. While the Founding Fathers would likely have crushed such an insurgency without concern for constitutional conundrums—believing that liberties only apply to those who wish to preserve the republic—modern leaders often remain passive.

In states like Minnesota, the structure of law is being subverted by racketeering and migrant-driven fraud, often enabled by leftist politicians and judges. Despite clear evidence that welfare systems are being exploited and the country is being invaded, many conservative and libertarian voices remain focused on abstract small-government theory rather than confronting the culprits directly. This inaction allows the status quo to persist, as legal purists and "constitutional sticklers" inadvertently make the revolution possible.

****The Cost of Waiting****

The current situation is reflected in Franz Kafka's story, "Before the Law," which describes a man who spends his entire life waiting for permission to enter the doorway of the law, only to die as the gate is shut. This serves as a metaphor for the modern liberty movement; conservatives are often hobbled by false promises of justice and told that waiting is the answer. This manufactured apathy is designed to keep citizens from taking matters into their own hands while insurgents are given free rein.

The argument that fighting back might turn the opposition into the very "monsters" they seek to defeat is a risk that must be taken. It is better to take decisive action to save the republic while still capable than to rot away at the gate, watching the nation die from a thousand cuts. Retaliation may be the only remaining path to preservation.

To visualize this dynamic, imagine a homeowner who watches a group of intruders slowly dismantling their house piece by piece. If the homeowner spends all their time reading the HOA manual to see if they are allowed to shout at the intruders, they will eventually find themselves standing on an empty lot with no house left to defend.